DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Memorandum of Conversation

DATE: October 31, 1962

SUBJECT:

Cuba; Arms Control; Disarmament.

W. Rostow. Counselor and Chairman of the Policy Planning Council

COPIES TO:

The Secretary

The Under Secretary

G - Mr. Johnson

M - Mr. McGhee

EUR

INR - Mr. Hilsman

ARA

S/AL - Amb. Thompson

ACDA

White House - Mr. Bundy

Defense - Mr. Nitze

CLA - Mr. Cline

S/P

At the Finnish Embassy were among the guests. After dinner, in the presence of the Swedish said: "Do you think we can Ambassador. now make progress on disarmament?" I replied that this was our hope; but there is one thing that the USSR must bear in mind. Every nation has its own deep memories which color its foreign policy, rationally or otherwise. For the Soviet Union there are the memories of recurrent invasion from the west, notably from Germany. For the US there is the memory of Pearl Harbor. Without any judgment concerning Soviet intentions, it was important that those responsible for Soviet policy understand that the reassurances of Gromyko to the President about offensive weapons in Cuba, coming at a time when they were in fact being installed, touched a deep nerve among Americans. They must count on a very powerful insistence from the US on effective inspection in any arms control and disarmament arrangements.

He then said, "Do you really mean there were fears here of a Pearl Harbor?" I said that, in the sense I had just indicated, there were. He then turned to the Swedish Ambassador, Mr. Jarring,

and said.

" DS-1254

COMFIDENTIAL

ONFIDENTIAL

. - 2

went on to say, "What do you think of a test ban monitored by stations emplaced within the USSR and elsewhere without international personnel?" I said that I was in o position to make a judgment about the adequacy of method, for inspecting underground tests; but I was confident that, if we entered a test ban, including underground tests, the President would have to be assured that the risks of violation were very low.

In a lighter vein I told him that had said that our 30% arms cut was an inadequate beginning; that he was much more conservative than his scientific colleague. He replied that we had to begin to turn down the arms race urgently at some point and perhaps the more modest step of a test ban, including underground tests, was the place to begin.

CONFIDENTIAL